



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|---|----------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|--|
| 09/240,695 | 02/02/1999 | HISANORI NAKAJIMA | Q53164 | 7689 | |
| 7: | 590 01/24/2006 | EXAMINER | | | |
| SUGHRUE MION ZINN MACPEAK & SEAS 2100 PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE NW WASHINGTON, DC 200373213 | | | NGUYEN, MAIKHANH | | |
| | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| | • | | 2176 | | |

DATE MAILED: 01/24/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

| Application No. | Applicant(s) | | |
|-----------------|-----------------|--|--|
| 09/240,695 | NAKAJIMA ET AL. | | |
| Examiner | Art Unit | | |
| Maikhanh Nguyen | 2176 | | |

| | I Walkilanii Ngoyen | 1 2170 | |
|--|---|--|--|
| -The MAILING DATE of this communication appe | ears on the cover sheet with the | correspondence add | ress - |
| THE REPLY FILED 29 December 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THI | S APPLICATION IN CONDITION F | OR ALLOWANCE. | |
| 1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the follor places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Not a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in complian time periods: | wing replies: (1) an amendment, af otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in ce with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply m | fidavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C | nce, which FR 41.31; or (3) |
| a) \boxtimes The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date | e of the final rejection. | | |
| b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7 | ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailin (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN TH | ng date of the final rejecti | on. |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extender 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL | tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig r than three months after the mailing da | of the fee. The appropr ginally set in the final Offi | iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as |
| The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comfiling the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any external a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed. | ension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to | o avoid dismissal of th | ns of the date of the appeal. Since |
| AMENDMENTS | hut asianta tha data of filing a brint | | |
| The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, They raise new issues that would require further co They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below) | nsideration and/or search (see NC | | ecause |
| (c) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or | • | educing or simplifying | the issues for |
| (d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)) | | - | |
| 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1 | | ompliant Amendment | (PTOL-324). |
| 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s | | | |
| 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s). | • | • | _ |
| 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is protected. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: | | ill be entered and an e | explanation of |
| Claim(s) allowed: <u>none</u> . Claim(s) objected to: <u>none</u> . Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-17</u> . | | | |
| Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE | | | |
| The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, be because applicant failed to provide a showing of good ar was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). | | | |
| 9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar | overcome all rejections under appe | eal and/or appellant fa | ils to provide a |
| The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER | on of the status of the claims after e | entry is below or attacl | hed. |
| The request for reconsideration has been considered be <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u> | | | nce because: |
| 12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). | (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper | No(s) | |
| 13. ☐ Other: | U | WILLIAM BASHOF PRIMARY EXAMIN | ulue IE FR |
| | | PRIMARY EXAMIN | - ' |
| | | (20/2006 | ı |
| | | | |

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant argues in substance that the Examiner maintains that the PDL interpreter 14 discloses the template data. Nevertheless, Applicant submits that Tokiwa fails to teach or suggest such a feature (Remarks; pages 10-11).

In response, Tokiwa's PDL interpreter 14 discloses the template data (e.g., PDL form) [see the the PDL interpreter discussion beginning at page 4, line 4).

WILLIAM BASHORE
PRIMARY EXAMINER

1/20/2006

2